## Venous Thromboembolism in Children with Cancer Susannah E. Koontz, Pharm.D., BCOP Principal & Consultant

Pediatric Hematology/Oncology & HSCT Koontz Oncology Consulting LLC Houston, TX

# KOONTZ ONCOLSGY











## Objectives

- Describe the pathophysiology of venous thromboembolism (VTE) development in the setting of pediatric malignancies
- Identify risk factors for developing VTE's in children
   with cancer
- Summarize current preventative and treatment strategies for managing VTE's in pediatric cancer patients

DVT A	vare	enes	S	0			
Month			MAF	RCH	2011		
	SUN	MON	TUES	WED	THURS	FRI	SAT
			1	2	3	4	5
	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
	20	21	22	23	24	25	26
	27	28	29	30	31		











Data Registries				
Registry	Dates	Age	Events	CNS
Canadian & International – neonatal <sup>1</sup>	1990-1993	First month	VTE (arterial)	No
German – neonatal <sup>2</sup>	1992-1994	First month	VTE (arterial)	Yes
Canadian – children <sup>3</sup>	1990-1992	1 month – 16 years	DVT/PE	No
DPSU (Netherlands) <sup>4</sup>	1997-1999	Birth – 18 years	VTE <sup>a</sup>	Yes
BPSU (United Kingdom) <sup>5</sup>	2001-2003	1 month – 16 years	VTE (arterial)	No
Includes a proportion of asymptomatic neonatal events     Table adapted from Reference 6     Andrew M, et al. Blood 1994;83:1251–1357, 2. Schmidt B, et al. Pediatrics 1995;94(5 Pt 1):339–943, 3. Novak-Gotti U, tal. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 1997;75:F163–F167, 4. van Ommen CH, et al. J Pediatr 2001;139:76–861.     Gisloen BES, et al. Thromb Reservo 2003;(150):p1):OC422, 6. Chalmers EL Thromb Reservo 2005;118:3-12.				









Arterial vs. Venous Thrombosis		
Arterial	Venous	
<ul> <li>Major factor = atherosclerosis (vessel wall changes) as is hypertension, smoking, diabetes, hyperlipidemia</li> <li>Hypercoaguability has minor role</li> <li>Blood stasis is not an issue as arterial blood flow rate it high</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Factors which damage vessel wall only appear to slightly increase risk</li> <li>Prothrombotic and stasis abnormalities play dominate role</li> </ul>	





Risk Factors in Relation to Virchow's Triad					
		$\frown$			
Risk Factor	Damage	Coagulation	Stasis	Fibrinolysis	

Older age			al	1
			V	V
Obesity			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Pregnancy		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Immobility			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Prior VTE	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Surgery	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Trauma	$\checkmark$	1	$\checkmark$	
Varicose veins	$\checkmark$		V	
Cancer	$\checkmark$	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Estrogens		V	V	V
Stroke			$\checkmark$	
Thrombophilia		$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$
ed from Autar R. Br J Nurs 2	2006;15:980-986			





### Relationship Between Cancer & VTE

#### Procoagulant molecules

- o Tissue factor
  - Also known as tissue thromboplastin and coagulation factor III
  - × Over-expressed on tumor cells
  - When bound to factor VIIa, activates factor X and IX resulting in activation of the procoagulant cascade
  - May enhance tumor metastasis
- o Cancer procoagulant
  - × Only known physiological substrate is coagulation factor X
  - \* Activates factor X independently of factor VIIa

1. Athale UH, et al. Thromb Res 2003;111:321-327. 2. Kolomansky A, et al. IMAJ 2006;8:848-852. 3. Bajzar L, et al. Curr Opin Pediatr 2006;18:1-9. 4. Stoffel N, et al. Thromb Haemost 2010;103:1228-1232.































## VTE Clinical Presentation

#### Mesenteric vein

- o Generalized abdominal pain
- o Potential end organ damage: ileus
- Renal vein
  - o Lumbar tenderness, fever, hematuria, enlarged kidney
  - o Potential organ damage: renal dysfunction
- Splenic vein
  - o Left upper quadrant pain, splenomegaly
  - o Potential organ damage: hypersplenism

Young G. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2006;46:540-546.

### VTE Clinical Presentation

- Superior vena cava
  - Headache, neck pain, head and neck swelling
  - o Potential organ damage: rare neurologic symptoms
- Pulmonary artery
  - Dyspnea, tachypnea, chest pain, cough, hemoptysis, anxiety, fever, cyanosis
  - o Potential organ damage: hypoxemia, respiratory failure
- Cerebral sinus
  - o Headache, neurologic deficits, seizures
  - o Potential organ damage: occasional neurologic sequelae

Young G. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2006;46:540-546.

VTE Location in Children				
Distribution of Peripheral DVT and PE in Children from Three International Registries				
Site of VTE	Canada <sup>1</sup>	Netherlands <sup>2</sup>	United Kingdom <sup>3</sup>	
Upper limb (UL) DVT	47 (34%)	5 (14%)	48 (30%)	
Lower limb (LL) DVT	68 (50%)	21 (60%)	95 (59%)	
PE alone	8 (6%)	4 (11%)	5 (3%)	
UL DVT + PE	3 (2%)	2 (6%)	6 (4%)	
LL DVT + PE	11 (8%)	3 (9%)	6 (4%)	
Total	137	35	160	
Table adapted from Reference 4 1. Nowak-Gotti U, et al. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 1997;76:F163—F167. 2. van Ommen CH, et al. J Pediatr 2001;139:876—681. 3. Gibson BES, et al. Thromb Haemost 2003;1(Suppl. 1):OC422. 4. Chalmers EA. Thromb Res 2006;118: 3-12.				
	Distribution of The Site of VTE Upper limb (UL) DVT Lower limb (UL) DVT PE alone UL DVT + PE LL DVT + PE Total	VTE Location           Distribution of Peripheral Dy Three Internation           Site of VTE         Canada <sup>1</sup> Upper limb (UL) DVT         47 (34%)           Lower limb (UL) DVT         68 (50%)           PE alone         8 (6%)           UL DVT + PE         3 (2%)           LL DVT + PE         11 (8%)           Total         137	VTE Location in Children           Distribution of Peripheral DVT and PE in Child Three International Registries           Site of VTE         Canada <sup>1</sup> Netherlands <sup>2</sup> Upper limb (UL) DVT         47 (34%)         5 (14%)           Lower limb (UL) DVT         47 (34%)         5 (14%)           Lower limb (UL) DVT         68 (50%)         21 (60%)           PE alone         8 (6%)         4 (11%)           UL DVT + PE         3 (2%)         2 (6%)           LL DVT + PE         11 (8%)         3 (9%)           Total         137         35	



VTE Diagnosis
O
Special laboratory analysis
o Factor V Leiden
<ul> <li>Mutation renders factor Va less readily susceptible to inactivation by Protein C</li> </ul>
o Prothrombin
★ G20210A mutation
<ul> <li>Increase in messenger RNA → increase in prothrombin synthesis → increase in circulating thrombin</li> </ul>
o Protein C
o Protein S
o Antithrombin III
1. Streif W, et al. Hematol Oncol Clin N Am 1998;12:1283-1312. 2. Nowak-Gottl U, et al. Thromb Haemost 2001;86:- 474. 3. Gurgey A, et al. Blood Coegul Fibrinolysis 2004;15::657-662.

Test	Advantages	Disadvantages
D-Dimer	Fast, inexpensive	Variable sensitivity, in some patient specificity is poor
Doppler Ultrasound	Fairly inexpensive, easy to perform at bedside, high sensitivity for proximal DVT and internal jugular)	Operator variability, poor sensitivity for calf and pelvic DVT, not well established in children
Computed tomography venongraphy	High sensitivity and specificity for central and pelvic DVT, can be used when patient has contraindication to MRI	Expensive, need for contrast media radiation exposure, little data on us in children
Magnetic resonance venography	High sensitivity and specificity for central and pelvic DVT (ideal for cerebral sinus thrombosis), doesn't rely on contrast media	Expensive, low availability, can't be used in patients with aneurysm clip pacemakers or who are claustrophobic, may require sedation
Contrast venography	High sensitivity and specificity for DVT (except internal jugular), including in calf	Invasive, low availability, need for contrast media, radiation exposure risk of complications (thrombonblebitis)













05;99:194-204, 5. Debourdeau P, et al. Ann Oncol 2009;20:1439-1471, 6. Farge D, et al. Crit 5:31-46 7. Monagle P, et al. Chest 2006;133:8875-9688. 8. Khorana AA, et al. J Clin Oncol

## Guidelines Caveats

#### Issues

- o Under- or misdiagnosis of VTE
- o Developing hemostatic system
- o Alterations in pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics
- o Dietary differences
- o Lack of "pediatric friendly" anticoagulants
- o Compliance with anticoagulant regimens
- o Lack of randomized controlled trials

1. Monagle P. Heart 2004;90:808-812. 2. Trenor III CC. Blood Coagul Fibrinolysis 2010;21(Suppl 1):S11-S15.

Treatment of VTE in Pediatric Cancer Patients

- Management of DVT should follow the general recommendations of management of DVT in children (Grade = 2C)
- Suggest use of a low molecular weight heparin for a minimum of 3 months until precipitating factor has resolved (Grade = 2C)
- Presence of cancer and the need for cancer therapies or other treatments may modify the riskbenefit ratio for the treatment of DVT and these factors should be taken into consideration

Monagle P, et al. Chest 2008;133:887S-968S.







### Treatment of VTE in Pediatric Cancer Patients

#### Catheter-related thrombosis

- o If no longer required or malfunctioning
  - Remove catheter (Grade = 1B)
  - Anticoagulant for at least 3-5 days prior to removal (Grade = 2C)
- o If still needed and is functioning
  - × Keep catheter and anticoagulate patient (Grade = 2C)
  - See next slide

Monagle P, et al. Chest 2008;133:887S-968S.

Monagle P, et al. Chest 2008;133:887S-968S.

Treatment of VTE in Pediatric Cancer Patients
 Catheter-related thrombosis

 1st VTE and still need catheter
 Vitamin K antagonist therapy for at least 3 months, achieving an INR of 2.5 (range 2-3), or alternatively using low molecular weight heparin to maintain an anti-Factor Xa level of 0.5-1 U/mL (Grade = 2C)
 After 3 months of therapy, give prophylactic doses of vitamin K antagonist therapy to achieve INR of 1.5-1.9 or low molecular weight heparin to achieve an anti-Factor Xa level of 0.1-0.3 U/mL, continuing therapy until catheter is removed low for at least 3

months (Grade = 2C)

Ionagle P, et al. Chest 2008;133:887S-968S.

Treatment of VTE in Pediatric Cancer Patients
Use of thrombolysis for DVT
Not to be used routinely (Grade = 2C)
If used, then supplement with plasminogen in the setting of physiologic or pathologic plasminogen deficiencies (Grade = 2C)











Rate Rep

ande

+10

+10

0

-10

-10

-15

PTT,

4

4

Next day

4

4

4

hour



Unfractionated Heparin		
Advantages	Disadvantages	
<ul> <li>Familiarity</li> <li>Rapid onset of action</li> <li>Short half-life</li> <li>Published data in children</li> <li>Antidote available</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Unpredictable dose response</li> <li>Requires antithrombin</li> <li>Need for frequent monitoring/inpatient use</li> <li>Not effective in neutralizing clot-bound thrombin</li> <li>Adverse events → heparin- induced thrombocytopenia, osteoporosis</li> </ul>	
1. Young G. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2006;46:540-546. 2. Pays	e JH. Br J Haematol 2010;150:259-277.	

The Four "	T's" of Heparin-Induc		dIT) Point System
	2	1	0
Thrombo- cytopenia	> 50% fall to nadir <u>&gt;</u> 20 x 10 <sup>9</sup> /L	30-50% fall (or >50% fall due to surgery) or nadir 10-19 x 10 <sup>9</sup> /L	< 30% fall or nadir < 10 x 10 <sup>9</sup> /L
Timing	Days 5-10 or <pre>&lt; Day 1 with recent heparin (past 30 days)</pre>	> Day 10 or unclear timing or < Day 1 with recent heparin (past 31-100 days)	< Day 4 (no recent heparin activity)
Thrombosis	Proven new thrombosis, skin necrosis or acute anaphylaxis after IV heparin bolus	Progressive or recurrent thrombosis, erythematous skin lesions, suspected thrombosis (not proven), asymptomatic upper limb DVT	None
oTher causes	None evident	Possible	Definite

## Heparin-Induced Thrombocytopenia (HIT)

### Management

- o Evaluate patient
- o Discontinue heparin and vitamin K antagonists
- o Check heparin antibody
- o Change anticoagulation
  - × Fondaparinux
  - × Agatroban (Caution in hepatic dysfunction)
  - Lepirudin (Dose adjust in renal dysfunction)
  - × Bivalirudin (Close monitoring in renal dysfunction)
  - × Desirudin (Dose adjust in renal dysfunction)
- o Transition back to warfarin

Ahmed I, et al. Postgrad Med J 2007;83:575-582.



Low Molecular Weight Heparin			
0			
Agent & Use	Patient Age or Weight	Dose	
Enoxaparin, mg/kg , Q 12 h initial treatment	Age > 2 months	1	
Enoxaparin, mg/kg, Q 12 h initial prophylaxis	Age > 2 months	0.5	
Daltaparin, U/kg, Q 24 h initial treatment	All	129 <u>+</u> 43	
Daltaparin, U/kg, Q 24 h initial prophylaxis	All	92 <u>+</u> 52	
Tinzaparin, U/kg, Q 24 h initial treatment	2-12 months	250	
	1-5 yr	240	
	5-10 yr	200	
	10-16 yr	175	
Reviparin, U/kg, Q 12 hr initial treatment	> 5 kg	100	
Reviparin, U/kg, Q 12 hr initial prophylaxis	> 5 kg	30	
Adapted from Monagle P, et al. Chest 2008;133:887S-968S.			

#### Low Molecular Weight Heparin Advantages Disadvantages Longer half-life and Subcutaneous subcutaneous administration administration not for every allows for outpatient use patient More predictable pharmacokinetic profile Still some monitoring • Antidote is only partially Less monitoring than heparin effective Less bleeding and thrombocytopenia than Adverse effects $\rightarrow$ osteoporosis, heparin contraindicated in patients Some published data in children with heparin-induced thrombocytopenia 1. Young G. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2006;46:540-546. 2. Pa

### 

1. Trenor III CC. Blood Coagul Fibrinolysis 2010;21(Suppl 1):S11-S15. 2. Payne JH. Br J Haematol 2010;150:259-277

## Vitamin K Antagonists (Warfarin) Therapy INR Action Day 1 If Baseline 1-1.3 Dose 0.2 mg/kg PO 1-1.3 Repeat initial loading dose

-			
	1.4-1.9	Repeat 50% of initial loading dose	
	2-3	Repeat 50% of initial loading dose	
	3.1-3.5	Repeat 25% of initial loading dose	
	> 3.5	Hold until INR < 3.5; resume 50% of previous dose	
Maintenance	1.1-1.4	Increase dose by 20%	
	1.5-1.9	Increase dose by 10%	
	2-3	No change in therapy	
	3.1-3.5	Decrease dose by 10%	
	> 3.5	Hold until INR < 3.5; resume 20% of previous dose	
Adapted from Monagle P, et al. Chest 2008;133:887S-968S.			

Vitamin K Antagonists (Warfarin)	
Disadvantages	
<ul> <li>Requires "bridge" therapy before effects are seen</li> <li>Unpredictable dose response (genetic polymorphisms)</li> <li>Narrow therapeutic index</li> <li>Numerous food- and drug- drug interactions</li> <li>Requires frequent monitoring</li> </ul>	



Direct Throm	bin Inhibitors
Advantages	Disadvantages
<ul> <li>Predictable dose response, pharmacokinetics</li> <li>Rapid onset of action, short- half-life</li> <li>Inhibits clot-bound as well as free thrombin</li> <li>Doesn't rely on antithrombin</li> <li>No risk of heparin-induced thrombocytopenia</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Expensive</li> <li>Administration by continuous infusion</li> <li>No available antidote (recombinant factor VIIa?)</li> <li>Little published pediatric literature</li> </ul>
1. Young G. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2006;46:540-546. 2. Payr	e JH. Br J Haematol 2010;150:259-277.



Fondaparinux	
Advantages	Disadvantages
<ul> <li>Predictable dose response</li> <li>Longer half-life allows for daily dosing</li> <li>No cross reactivity with heparin-induced antibodies</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Subcutaneous administration not for all patients</li> <li>No available antidote</li> <li>Few published case reports in children</li> </ul>
1. Young G. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2006;46:540-546. 2. Payr	e JH. Br J Haematol 2010;150:259-277.



	Throm	bolytic Therapy
	Local Instillation o	of Tissue Plasminogen Activator
Weight	Catheter type	Action
<10 kg	Single lumen CVL	0.5 mg diluted in 0.9% NS to volume required to fill line
	Double lumen CVL	0.5 mg diluted in 0.9% NS to volume required to fill line; treat one lumen at a time
	SC Port	0.5 mg diluted in 0.9% NS to 3 mL
> 10 kg	Single lumen CVL	1 mg diluted in 1 mL 0.9% NS use amount required to fill line, to maximum of 2 mL = 2 mg
	Double lumen CVL	1 mg diluted in 1 mL 0.9% NS use amount required to fill line, to maximum of 2 mL = 2 mg; treat one lumen at a time
	SC Port	2 mg diluted in 0.9% NS to 3 mL
Adapted from I	Monagle P, et al. Chest 2008;133:	CVL, central venous line; SC, subcutaneous 8875-9685.

Thromboly	rtic Therapy
Advantages	Disadvantages
<ul> <li>Very effective</li> <li>Short-half life</li> <li>Hepatic metabolism</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Expensive</li> <li>Short stability once compounded</li> <li>Risk of hemorrhage</li> <li>Several contraindications (e.g., seizure, history of bleeding)</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>Dillon PW. J Clin Oncol 2004;22:2718-2723.</li> <li>Yee DL, ε</li> <li>Kerlin BA. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2009;53:920-921.</li> </ol>	t al. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2009;53:960-966.







	Resources
• www.preve	ntdvt.org
o Coalition to	Prevent Deep Vein Thrombosis
<ul> <li>Information</li> </ul>	for patients and healthcare providers
<ul> <li>www.natfor</li> </ul>	line.org
o North Amer	ica Thrombosis Forum
o Information	for patients and healthcare providers
<ul> <li>www.throm</li> </ul>	bosisclinic.com
o Continuing	medical education
• www.venou	sdiseasecoalition.org
<ul> <li>Promotes a public</li> </ul>	wareness of VTE to healthcare providers and

# Final Thoughts

- Status on research in pediatric cancer patients
- What about newer agents?
- Relationship with anticoagulation and outcomes in cancer patients

